

**From:** ACalapai@aol.com@inetgw  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/11/02 11:05pm  
**Subject:** (no subject)

GENTLEMEN:

BASED ON WHAT NEWS WE HAVE , I FEEL THAT THE JUDGE IN THIS CASE IS BIASED AGAINST MICROSOFT AND SYMPATHETIC TO APPLE CO AND OTHER COMPETITORS OF MICROSOFT.

I DO NOT SEE APPLE COMPUTOR DONATING ANY EQUIPMENT TO ANY SCHOOLS OF NEEDY CHILDREN, BUT YET THEY CRITICIZE MICROSOFT AS BEING UNFAIR OR WANTING AN ADVANTAGE TO PENETRATE THE SCHOOL SYSTEM WITH THEIR WARES.

BECAUSE THE COMPETITORS ARE UNABE TO COMPETE WITH MICROSOFT THEY TURN TO ENLIST THE COURTS FOR THEIR BENEFIT ON THE BASIS THAT THEY HURT THE THE PUBLIC.

I AM GRATEFUL THAT WE HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THEIR PRODUCTS AND WHAT MICROSOFT HAS CONTRIBUTED TO OUR ECONOMY

IF THEIR DONATION WAS INSUFFICIENT WHY DID NOT THE COURT JUST ASK FOR ADDITIONAL BENEFITS.

THE COURTS SHOULD WAKE UP AND NOT BE SO DUPED BY THE STATES ATTORNEY GENERALS WHO ARE STILL NOT SATISFIED AND THE COMPANIES WHO COULD NOT DEFEAT THE ASTUTE MANAGEMENT.

THINK ABOUT ALL THE INVESTORS IRA'S AND PENSION PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN DEVASTED

THE COURTS EVEN SAW FIT TO REFUSE MICROSOFT ADDITIOAL TIME TO PREPARE FOR THE CONTINUED CHARGES .

IT SEEMS THAT THIS LETS KEEP GANGING UP ON MICROSOFT BY THE ENEMIES OF MICROSOFT IS GETTING TO BE STANDARD PROCEDURE BY THE COURTS AND SOME BIASED STATES.

THE FINAL OUTCOME THEREFOR IS THAT THESE NEEDY CHILDREN WILL BE DEPRIVED OF THIS BENEFICIAL EQUIPMENT. I HAVE NOOT SEEN ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM APPLE AND

OTHER COMPETITORS JUDGE YOU HAVE HURT THESE CHILDREN

ACALAPAI